

From: [NectonSubstationAction Messenger](#)
To: [Norfolk Boreas](#)
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Deadline 9
Date: 28 April 2020 17:01:40

Q4.9.2.3 Necton Parish Council NSAG The NFU/LIF Top Farm location, Scenario 1: All those who consider Top Farm to be a more suitable location for the onshore project substation for the Proposed Development are asked whether they would retain that ExQ4 28 April 2020 Responses due by Deadline 10: Wednesday, 6 May 2020 Page 21 of 32 ExQ4 Question to: Question: Landowners and other IPs opinion if the SoS were to consent the Norfolk Vanguard OFW, with its onshore project substation on the site indicated for the Proposed Development's Scenario 1, as shown on Norfolk Boreas drawings eg [REP7-019, Figure 1b].

We would still think the Top Farm site would be a more suitable location for the onshore project substation, in the case described above.

NSAG

From: [NectonSubstationAction Messenger](#)
To: [Norfolk Boreas](#)
Subject: Deadline 9
Date: 28 April 2020 17:06:54

Q4.9.6.5 NSAG Other IPs Design and Access Statement (DAS) and Outline Landscape and Ecological Management Strategy (OLEMS) - comments requested: This question supersedes ExQ3.9.6.5. Provide any comments on the updated DAS submitted at Deadline 7 [REP7-006] to [REP7-010] and the updated OLEMS [REP8-006].

Our only response is that we appear to have been brushed aside by the applicant once again. This demonstrates that a face to face OFH is essential, which would require a delay.

NSAG